

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/574,856	05/19/2000	William O. Burke III	2104A	1316	
25280	7590 01/03/2002				
MILLIKEN & COMPANY 920 MILLIKEN RD PO BOX 1926 SPARTANBURG, SC 29304		EXAMINER			
			WATKINS III,	WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	8	
·			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T:D			
$\circ$ .	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/574,856	BURKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William P. Watkins III	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6). Cause the application to become	ly a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BENERAL SECTION OF THE SECTI			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 (</u>	October 2001 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 and 23-26 is/a	re withdrawn from con	sideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,15-22 and 27-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in a	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application ha	s been received.			
Attachment(s)	, , , , <u>,</u>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :			
LO Detect of Test and Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/574,856

Art Unit: 1772

## DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper
   No. 7, filed 11 October 2001 is acknowledged.
- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 15-19, 20-22 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rockwell, Jr. et al. (U.S. 6,296,919 B1).

Rockwell, Jr. teaches the use of a mold with holes, heated by a press to vulcanize a foam rubber mat with projections and a fabric top (abstract, col. 7, lines 5-15). The instant invention claims a foam rubber mat with projections where the skin of the projections is thicker than the skin of the rest of the mat. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have understood that there would have been a

Application/Control Number: 09/574,856

Art Unit: 1772

temperature difference between the surface of the mold next to the heated press and the surface against the main body of the mat, which would result in higher temperatures near the bottom of the projection. Applicant discloses that this temperature difference produces a thicker skin at the bottom of the projections, which are closer to the bottom of the mold. This structure meets the instant claim language.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 1-9, 15-19, 20-22 and 27-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No.
- 6,296,919. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Application/Control Number: 09/574,856

Art Unit: 1772

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims recite a skin thickness that would have been obvious for the article of the patent to have as a result of the method used to make the article claims of the '919 patent as noted above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

> Millier M. Walter & WILL: MED WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

WW/ww December 30, 2001

WITT IALA D. WATKINS III I .....AMINER

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER